

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
1.0. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/698,654 | 10/31/2003 | Robert F. Walko JR. | P/10-653 | 3767 |
| 2352 7 | 590 10/25/2006 | EXAMINER | | |
| OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS | | | HARTMAN JR, RONALD D | |
| NEW YORK, NY 100368403 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| • | | | 2121 | |
| | | · | DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/698,654 | WALKO, ROBERT F. | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Ronald D. Hartman Jr. | 2121 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence address | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e. cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133) | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 A | august 2006. | | | | |
| | | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under t | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)[| Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)🖂 | Claim(s) 21-32 are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | |
| 9) 🗍 | The specification is objected to by the Examine | er | | | | |
| | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc | | e Examiner | | | |
| • | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | | | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | (a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | (-) (-) | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | ation No. | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | | | | |
| | application from the International Burea | | • | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | |
| 1) 🔲 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summa | ary (PTO-413) | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail | Date | | | |
| | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | n Faterit Application | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/698,654

Art Unit: 2121

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. Claims 21-26, drawn to a method of setting a timeframe to include a portion of a time unit that is less than complete, classified in class 715, subclass 700;
- II. Claim 27, drawn to a method of setting a timeframe to include a plurality of time sub units, wherein the timeframe start time is shifted to be the same as the time sub unit start time of the first time unit in the timeframe and the total time in each respective timeframe remains the same, classified in class 715, subclass 700; and
- III. Claims 28-32, drawn to a method of shifting the start time of a selected timeframe to a new time within a selected unit that is not a unit start time, wherein the unit start time of each of the plurality of units within the period remains the same and the duration of each timeframe remains the same, classified in class 715, subclass 700.

The species are independent or distinct because the search for one would not be required for any of the others. It is noted that although the examiner has grouped all three sets in 715/700, this is only because all the claims appear to be related to features or steps that would occur on a computer screen by way of utilizing a graphical user interface. However, the search would not be so simple as it would require three separate searches to find the particulars claimed by each. This is because the applicants has drafted three methods which all possess different particulars for each step claimed by each respective method claimed. In other words, all three sets of claims claim have different requirements.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Art Unit: 2121

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ronald D. Hartman Jr. whose telephone number is (571) 272-3684. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 11:00 - 8:30 pm, EST.

Art Unit: 2121

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ronald D Hartman Jr.
Patent Examiner

x Roold & Hatrant

Page 4

Art Unit 2121

October 20, 2006

RDH

X RD H